	Case 1:23-cv-01623-NODJ-EPG Docu	ment 11 Filed 02/09/24 Page 1 of 5	
1			
2 3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LAWRENCE BRANCO,	) CASE NO. 1:23-cv-01623-NODJ-EPG	
12	Plaintiff,	) ) JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
13	vs.	INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE AND TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO SUBMIT	
14	AETNA LIFE INSURANCE	INITIAL DISCLOSURES; ORDER	
15	COMPANY, and Does 1 through 50, inclusive,	) (ECF No. 10)	
16	Defendants.	) )	
17		) )	
18		) )	
19		)	
20 21		)	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		1	
	Ī		

## ase 1:23-cv-01623-NODJ-EPG Document 11 Filed 02/09/24 Page 2 of 5

TO THIS	HONOR	ARLE	COURT

1	10 Inis nonorable court:	
2	Plaintiff Lawrence Branco ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Aetna Life Insurance Company	
3	("Defendant") (Plaintiff and Defendant are collectively referred to herein as the "Parties"), by	
4	and through their respective counsel of record, hereby agree and stipulate to the following:	
5	WHEREAS, Plaintiff initiated this action on October 10, 2023, in the Superior Court of	
6	the State of California, County of Fresno, case number 23CECG04239 [Dkt. No. 1-1, Exhibit A]	
7	WHEREAS, on November 17, 2023, Defendant removed this action from the Fresno	
8	County Superior Court to this Court [Dkt. No. 1];	
9	WHEREAS, Defendant filed its Answer to the Complaint on December 6, 2023 [Dkt.	
10	No. 7];	
11	WHEREAS, the Initial Scheduling Conference is currently scheduled for February 20,	
12	2024, at 11:00 a.m. [Dkt. No. 9];	
13	WHEREAS, the Parties' current deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures is February 8	
14	2024 [Dkt. No. 9];	
15	WHEREAS, due to the information exchanged during the Parties' meet and confer	
16	discussions, Plaintiff is considering whether to amend his Complaint. The Parties have	
17	exchanged documents and information, and in order to provide the Parties with sufficient time to	
18	meet and confer and review all the relevant documents, Plaintiff and Defendant have agreed that	
19	it would be prudent to continue to the Initial Scheduling Conference and to extend the Parties'	
20	deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures;	
21	WHEREAS, for the sake of judicial economy and in an effort to use the Parties'	
22	resources in an efficient manner, the Parties believe that continuing the Initial Scheduling	
23	Conference and extending the Parties' deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures is appropriate;	
24	and	
25	WHEREAS, the Parties therefore ask the Court to continue to the Initial Scheduling	
26	Conference and to extend the Parties' deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures, by thirty (30)	

28

27

days.

## case 1:23-cv-01623-NODJ-EPG Document 11 Filed 02/09/24 Page 3 of 5

1	GOOD	CAUSE exists to continue to the Initial Scheduling Conference and to extend the	
2	Parties' deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures because:		
3	1)	The Initial Scheduling Conference is currently scheduled for February 20, 2024;	
4	2)	The Parties' current deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures is February 8,	
5		2024;	
6	3)	Plaintiff is considering whether to amend his Complaint;	
7	4)	The Parties need additional time to meet and confer, and review the documents	
8		exchanged, which are relevant to Plaintiff's potential amended Complaint;	
9	5)	The Parties have agreed to ask the Court to continue to the Initial Scheduling	
10		Conference and to extend the Parties' deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures	
11		by thirty (30) days;	
12	6)	Neither Party will be prejudiced by continuing the Initial Scheduling Conference	
13		or by extending the Parties' deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures; and	
14	7)	This is the second request by the Parties to continue the Initial Scheduling	
15		Conference and to extend the Parties' deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures.	
16			
17	///		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

## case 1:23-cv-01623-NODJ-EPG Document 11 Filed 02/09/24 Page 4 of 5

1	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED and agreed, subject to the approval of this Court, that			
2	the Initial Scheduling Conference should be continued by thirty (30) days to March 19, 2024, or			
3	another date convenient for the Court, and that the Parties' deadline to submit their Initial			
4	Disclosures should be extended by thirty (30) days until March 11, 2024.			
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
6				
7	Dated: February 9, 2024	GORDON REES SCULLY MANSUKHANI, LLP		
8	E E	By: <u>/s/Ronald K. Alberts</u>		
9		Ronald K. Alberts Hela Vaknin		
10		Attorneys for Defendant AETNA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY		
11		ADDIVITED DIVISORATIVED COMPANY		
12	Dated: February 9, 2024	WILKINS, DROLSHAGEN & CZESHINSICI LLP		
13				
14		By: <u>/s/James H. Wilkins</u> James H. Wilkins		
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff LAWRENCE BRANCO		
16				
17				
18				
19	<u>CERTIFICATION PURSU</u>	JANT TO LOCAL RULE 131(e)		
20	Pursuant to Local Rule 131(e), I hereby certify that the content of this document is			
21	acceptable to James H. Wilkins, counsel for Plaintiff, and I have obtained his authorization to			
22	affix his electronic signature to this document on February 9, 2024.			
23		By: /s/Ronald K. Alberts		
24		Ronald K. Alberts		
25				
26				
27				
28				

## Case 1:23-cv-01623-NODJ-EPG Document 11 Filed 02/09/24 Page 5 of 5

<u>ORDER</u> Having considered the above stipulation (ECF No. 10), IT IS ORDERED as follows: 1. The Initial Scheduling Conference currently scheduled for February 20, 2024, is continued to March 19, 2024, at 10:30 a.m. The parties are permitted to appear telephonically and may do so by dialing 1-888-251-2909 and entering access code 1024453. The parties are reminded to file a joint scheduling report at least seven days before the conference. (ECF No. 2, p. 2). 2. The Parties' deadline to submit their Initial Disclosures is extended by thirty (30) days to March 11, 2024. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 9, 2024